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Topic Material
Overview

Introduction

Without direct project funding, most non-governmental organisations (NGOs) would not be able to accomplish their goals. Writing clear, thorough and targeted project proposals is therefore essential to an NGO’s success.

Mastering the art of proposal writing requires a unified approach to project management. This guide is therefore intended to serve as a basis for delivering training sessions related to the process, as well as the end product of project design.

A project proposal is a detailed description of a series of activities aimed at solving a certain problem. The proposal should contain a detailed explanation of the:

- justification of the project;
- activities and implementation timeline;
- methodology; and
- human, material and financial resources required.

The project proposal should be a detailed and directed manifestation of the project design. It is a means of presenting the project to the outside world in a format that is immediately recognised and accepted.

The training sessions on project proposal writing aim to create an understanding of:

- the role of the project proposal and the activities related to each stage;
- how to deal with projects and project proposals from an organisational perspective;
- how project proposals fit into project management; and
- how to structure a good project proposal.

Objective of the Guide

This guide will lead trainers through project proposal writing sessions and exercises. It enables the user to:

- improve participants’ skills in developing quality project proposals;
- show them how to manage projects within an organisation; and
- help them to understand a project’s value as a tool to achieve and further the organisation’s mission.

The challenges that come out of these problem areas can be classified into three groups:

1) Enhancing skills and organisational procedures

- Learning proposal-writing techniques, as well as developing skills in designing and writing successful project proposals, is the objective of most participants
- Establishing systems and standards related to developing projects within the NGOs is also a key objective.
2) Understanding the role of project proposals in project management

- The project proposal is a tool — not a goal. It should be followed as closely as possible, and deviations should occur only when necessary.

- Proposal writing is only one of the phases of project management. It is one of the numerous actions that form a logical sequence of events usually referred to as the project cycle.

3) Conducting preparatory work prior to proposal writing

A quality project proposal is the final product of a participatory process that involves considerable study, discussion and learning from past experiences (see Figure 1).

Skills to be Developed

The training focuses on developing specific skills related to designing and completing good project proposals.

This guide offers instruction in:
- conducting preparatory work (see Figure 1);
- developing comprehensive and viable project plans by setting realistic goals and determining the resources needed;

---

**FIGURE 1**

**Before Writing a Proposal**

- **Interview past and prospective beneficiaries.** Though feedback was likely received when the previous project ended, new benefits and conditions may have arisen since that time. Speak to prospective beneficiaries to ensure that what you are planning to offer is desired and needed.

- **Review past project proposals.** Avoid repeating mistakes and offering to reproduce results that have already been achieved. Donors will be unlikely to provide more funding for something that should already have been done.

- **Review past project evaluation reports.** Don’t count on project members to remember all the mistakes and areas for improvement from previous efforts.

- **Organise focus groups.** Make sure that the people you need are willing and able to contribute.

- **Check statistical data.** Don’t let others discover gaps and inaccuracies in the data you are relying on.

- **Consult experts.** Outside opinions will give you ideas and credibility.

- **Conduct surveys, etc.** Gather as much preliminary information as possible to demonstrate commitment to the project and to refine the objectives.

- **Hold community meetings or forums.** When the public feels that they have been consulted on an issue, they will be much more likely to cooperate and support the project.
• completing the project proposal package in order to provide funders with all necessary information;
• preparing and following up on project budgets; and
• writing budget reports.

Contents
This guide covers the following topic areas:
• What is and what is not a project?
• What is a project design?
• How are project elements formulated?
• Which methods are best for planning a project?
• What is a project proposal?
• How is a project proposal written? (suggested format covering all the aspects of the project proposal)

It is a good idea for participants to familiarise themselves with the main terms of the proposal writing process found at right.

Delivering the Training
The guide should be used in combination with the activities presented in the training toolkit. Most of the guide’s content is designed in a way that can be used as a handout or reader for the participants during the training session. The trainer may, however, adapt it to the specific context and time frame of the training activity.

Project Basics
What is (and what is NOT) a Project
From the perspective of project management, any series of activities that go through the project cycle ARE projects.
The project cycle consists of project phases. An organisation should already have a well-defined organisational strategy from which it can begin to assess relevant needs and opportunities in its field. Several ideas will then come to light, from which an organisation may choose. The project phases then follow logically through design, financing, implementation and evaluation stages.
NGOs often perform activities that do not fall into the category of projects. It is also important to recognise that a project is not:
• past activities that are repeated in exactly the same way on a periodic basis;
• activities with no clearly defined goals;
• activities which can be repeated or transplanted anywhere at any moment; or
• ongoing (regular) organisational activities (e.g. board meetings).

Main Terms of the Proposal Writing Process
- Indicators — those elements of the project plan that translate the project’s purpose and results into measurable units (quantity or quality) and thus provide the basis for measuring the impact
- Input — the investment of resources (human, material or financial) invested in the project
- Output — the results achieved
- Activity plan — a description of the flow, timeline and responsibilities for the project’s activities
- Resource plan — a description of how the resources will be used in relation to the activities
- Gantt chart — a specific model for activity plans that illustrates how the activities interconnect
- Income — the funds secured for the project’s implementation
## FIGURE 2

### Considerations for Each Planning Step

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1    | Identifying the goal, objectives, results expected and target group | Background of the project  
Defined goal and objectives  
The societal vision of the organisation  
Expected short-term and long-term results  
Beneficiaries of the project  
Problems solved by the project |
| 2    | Content of the project | Theme and main issues to be covered  
Methods chosen to realise the goal and objectives  
Activities to be implemented  
Further needs to continue the project |
| 3    | Project location(s) and time schedule of activities | Location of different activities  
Duration of the project  
Starting and ending dates of the project  
Schedule for individual activities  
Summarised timeline of the project |
| 4    | Resources | Human resources  
Financial resources  
Infrastructure |
| 5    | Cost/income sources | Total budget of the project  
Detailed budget by activities and cost types  
Rate and form of own contribution  
Potential funders and sources of income |
| 6    | Description of the implementing organisation and partners | Description of the implementing organisation  
Analysis of capacity and capability  
Description of cooperative partners  
Analysis of partners’ capacity and capability |
| 7    | Project team and management | The project coordinator  
Communication method of the project team  
Communication strategy and practice with the external environment |
| 8    | Monitoring, evaluation and follow-up | Success criteria of the project  
Methods and timing of monitoring and evaluation  
Further plans and follow up |
What is the Project Design?

The project design is one phase of the project cycle. It consists of two elements:

- project planning (formulation of project elements); and
- project proposal writing (converting the plan into a project document).

Project design is a result of both project planning and the project proposal. Both steps are essential to forming a solid project design.

Project Planning — Formulation of Project Elements

Before the project is written, its individual elements need to be developed. Addressing the planning considerations helps develop the project elements, as shown in Figure 2.

Another way to break down planning questions is to take into consideration the project design stage at which these questions are asked. On the basis of these criteria the project planning questions could be classified as to whether they are made during project planning or proposal writing, as seen in Figure 3.

Regardless of the project planning model presented here, quality proposal writing is not possible without proper planning.

**FIGURE 3**

**Planning Considerations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues to be considered at the beginning of project planning</th>
<th>Elements of the project proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beneficiaries of the project</td>
<td>Project title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project team and cooperative partners</td>
<td>Goals and objectives of the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success criteria</td>
<td>Description of project activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal and objectives</td>
<td>Description of expected project results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods chosen to achieve project goal</td>
<td>Project implementation plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs and expenditures</td>
<td>Beginning of operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own contribution</td>
<td>Resource allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential funders</td>
<td>Project personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detailed project budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(fixed costs, equipment needed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other technical means</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(e.g. vehicles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Division of financial sources (own contribution and other resources)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How to Write a Project Proposal

Once the groundwork has been completed, proposal writing can commence. The key decision to be made at this stage is the structure of the project proposal (including the content and length). The structure is determined by the nature of the project as well as by the funding agency’s requirements. In the variety of formats, application forms, project design outlines, and grant application guidelines, it is possible to detect some common elements.

Proposed Format

Title page
A title page should appear on proposals longer than three to four pages. The title page should indicate the project title, the name of the lead organisation (and potential partners, if any), the place and date of project preparation and the name of the donor agency to whom the proposal is addressed.

Project title
The project title should be short, concise, and preferably refer to a certain key project result or the leading project activity. Project titles that are too long or too general fail to give the reader an effective snapshot of what is inside.

Contents page
If the total project proposal is longer than 10 pages it is helpful to include a table of contents at the start or end of the document. The contents page enables readers to quickly find relevant parts of the document. It should contain the title and beginning page number of each section of the proposal.

Abstract
Many readers lack the time needed to read the whole project proposal. It is therefore useful to insert a short project summary — an abstract. The abstract should include:

- the problem statement;
- the project’s objectives;
- implementing organisations;
- key project activities; and
- the total project budget.

Theoretically, the abstract should be compiled after the relevant items already exist in their long form.

For a small project the abstract may not be longer than 10 lines. Bigger projects often provide abstracts as long as two pages.

Context
This part of the project describes the social, economic, political and cultural background from which the project is initiated. It should contain relevant data from research carried out in the project planning phase or collected from other sources. The writer should take into consideration the need for a balance between the length of this item and the size of the overall project proposal. Large amounts of relevant data should be placed in an annex.
Project justification

Rationale should be provided for the project. Due to its importance usually this section is divided into four or more sub-sections.

Problem statement

The problem statement provides a description of the specific problem(s) the project is trying to solve, in order to “make a case” for the project. Furthermore, the project proposal should point out why a certain issue is a problem for the community or society as a whole, i.e. what negative implications affect the target group. There should also be an explanation of the needs of the target group that appear as a direct consequence of the described problem.

Priority needs

The needs of the target group that have arisen as a direct negative impact of the problem should be prioritised. An explanation as to how this decision was reached (i.e. what criteria was used) must also be included. For example, if the problem is stated as “… poor infrastructure in the community” the list of needs associated with this problem may be:

- improved water supply in quality and quantity;
- better roads; and
- improved solid waste collection.

These three needs would then be given higher or lower priority according to the level of importance for the community, and a description would be given of how that decision was reached (e.g. a poll taken from the local population, costs associated with project intervention, etc.). This procedure provides credibility to the selected intervention.

The proposed approach (type of intervention)

The project proposal should describe the strategy chosen for solving the problem and precisely how it will lead to improvement.

One way to describe the approach related to the need previously stated as improved water supply could be: “intervention to provide basic water supply facilities in the community,” with some description of the specific features of the solution proposed.

Tips for Successfully Presenting an Organisation

- Never use language that could be perceived as an attack towards any other organisation or institution.
- Carry out an analysis of your organisation’s strengths prior to preparing the proposal and then showcase these strengths.
- Show that your planning process is participatory and takes into consideration the opinions of the target group.
- Prepare a short document that presents your past experience (organisational record) and attach it to the project proposal.
The implementing organisation

This section should describe the capabilities of your organisation by referring to its capacity and previous project record. Describe why exactly your organisation is the most appropriate to run the project, its connection to the local community, the constituency behind the organisation and what kind of expertise the organisation can provide. If other partners are involved in implementation provide some information on their capacity as well.

See Figure 4 for some tips on presenting an organisation. This list should by no means be considered exhaustive.

Project aims

The first issue to deal with is naming the objectives. Several other English terms may be used including “project goal/aim,” “project purpose,” etc. Often one major “goal” is declared and then broken down into various objectives.

Once this issue has been dealt with, the hierarchy between objectives needs to be established, as well as how many levels the hierarchy should present. In reality, an organisation should have already resolved this issue in the project planning phase. Figure 5 displays three typical hierarchic levels.

Project goal (or overall objective)

This is a general aim that should explain what the core problem is and why the project is important, i.e. what the long-term benefits to the target group are.
Some examples of a project goal might be:

- raising environmental awareness;
- improving the quality of life in the community; and
- fostering social empowerment among women from deprived rural areas.

Some rules for setting a project goal are shown in the sidebar. If it is difficult to follow these rules then the project itself may have to be redefined or reconsidered.

**Project objectives**

The objectives should address the core problem in terms of the benefits to be received by the project beneficiaries or target group as a direct result of the project as shown in Figure 6. The objectives from Figure 5 may be defined as:

- improving the water supply in quantity X and quality Y for the population of village Z; and
- reducing by X the rate of acute infections.

Project objectives provide a more detailed breakdown of the project goal. A project will likely have multiple objectives.

**Rules for Setting a Project Goal**

1. There should be only one goal per project.
2. The goal should be connected to the vision for development.
3. It is difficult or impossible to measure the accomplishment of the goal using measurable indicators, but it should be possible to prove its merit and contribution to the vision.

---

**FIGURE 6**

Hierarch of Objectives with Sample Goal, Project Objectives and Results

- GOAL
  - Improving the quality of life in the community
    - Improving the water supply in quality X and quantity in the village of Z
      - The number of households attached to the water supply system was increased by X%  
      - The number of taps in the village was increased by Y%
    - Reducing by X the rate of acute infections
      - The number of taps in the village was increased by Y%
Project results

Results describe the services or products to be delivered to the intended beneficiaries. This is what the project management is promising to deliver. The results are more detailed than the objectives and the goal, and should be possible to measure through the use of objective indicators. Special consideration should therefore be paid to this area.

The results should address the main causes of the problem that the target group faces. To ensure relevant results, project management should have correctly identified the group’s needs.

Relating back to the previous example, the results would be written as:

- increased number of households connected to the water supply system; and
- increased number of water taps in the village.

The arrows in Figure 6 show how the results of the project can be tied back to the project’s objectives.

Indicators provide the project team with a quantifiable basis on which to judge the project’s success in reaching its objectives. The specification of indicators acts as a check on the viability of the results and project objectives. It forms the basis for a project monitoring system. Once the indicators are defined they should be developed to provide details of quantity, quality and time. The sidebar gives a five-step check on how well the indicators have been chosen.

Target group

Define the target group and show how it will benefit from the project. The project should provide a detailed description of the size and characteristics of the target groups, and especially of direct project beneficiaries.

The criteria for target group analysis may be ethnic composition, gender, age, etc. When these analyses are more elaborate, they may be attached as an appendix.

Project implementation

The implementation plan should describe activities and resource allocation in as much detail as possible. It is exceptionally important to provide a good overview of who is going to implement the project’s activities, as well as when and where. The implementation plan may be divided into two key elements: the activity plan and the resource plan.

Activity plan (schedule)

The activity plan should include specific information and explanations of each of the planned project activities. The duration of the project should be clearly stated, with considerable detail on the beginning and the end of the project. Figure 7 breaks down the various steps involved in preparing an activity plan.

In general, two main formats are used to express the activity plan: a simple table and the Gantt chart.

A simple table with columns, as shown in Figure 8, for activities, sub-activities, tasks, timing and responsibility, is a clear, readily understandable format for the activity plan.

The Gantt Chart, a universal format for presenting activities in certain times frames, shows the dependence and sequence for each activity. Figure 9 shows a sample Gantt Chart.

Resource plan

The resource plan should provide information on the means necessary to undertake the project. Cost categories are established at this stage in order to aggregate and summarise the cost information for budgeting.
In the above example, the activity of establishing a staff training programme could require **equipment** and **allowances**. These are the cost categories related to the activity. The next step is to identify **units**, **quantity per period** and **estimated unit cost**. On the basis of these figures, it will be easy to calculate **costs per period** and **total project costs**.

Figure 10 depicts these costs in a typical resource plan as it might look for Activity 1.1 (training programme) from the previous figure. This form of planning enables us to plan and calculate all the costs related to project activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>List all project activities</td>
<td>Develop a single list of all activities planned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Break activities into manageable tasks and sub-activities</td>
<td>Break activities first into sub-activities and then into tasks. Each task is assigned to an individual who assumes it as a short-term goal. The main consideration is getting the level of detail right. The most common mistake is to break activities into too many details. Planners should stop breaking the activities down any further as soon as they have sufficient detail to estimate the resources required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Clarify sequence and dependence</td>
<td>Relate activates to each other in order to determine their sequence and dependence. Is the activity dependent on the start-up or completion of any other activity? For example, building a house consists of a number of separate, but inter-related actions: first comes digging and laying the foundation, then the walls are erected, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Draw up a timeline for each task</td>
<td>Each task should be given a start-up date, a duration and a completion date. The schedule should then be followed as closely as possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Summarise the scheduling of main activities</td>
<td>Having specified the timing of the individual tasks, the next step is to plan (summarise) the timing of the entire main activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Use milestones</td>
<td>Milestones are key events that provide a measure of project progress and targets for the project team to aim for.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Define expertise</td>
<td>The level and type of expertise needed should be decided for each task separately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Allocate tasks among the team</td>
<td>Distribute responsibilities in consultation with the members of the team.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Budget

In simple terms, a budget is an itemised summary of an organisation’s expected income and expenses over a specified period of time.

Budgeting forms and financial planning procedures vary widely, especially in the non-profit sector. It is nevertheless essential that financial officers comply clearly and punctually with a funding organisation’s budgeting and reporting requirements.

The two main elements of any budget are income and expenditures.

Income (sometimes referred to as revenue) is the amount of financial assets and in-kind contribution used as sources of support for the project. If the funding source is
unique, the income side of the budget may not be shown. However, many projects have more than one source of support. The income side should show the share of contribution of each of these sources. Figure 11 shows a sample income form.

**Expenditures** (also called expenses or costs) are all the costs that are anticipated to occur during the project’s implementation. Regardless of the calculation and classification criteria used, the project costs should present a reasonable reflection of the activities presented in the project proposal.

Figure 12 gives a sample of what an expenditure form might look like. The categories presented would then be broken down into greater detail where required.

A projection of the specific amounts of time needed at different phases of project implementation, represents a basis for calculating the spending dynamics at different periods of the project.

**Budget categories** classify expenditures into smaller groups according to a certain criteria. This is to monitor spending and ensure compliance with the plan.

The two main costs are direct costs and operational costs. **Direct costs** are associated with a certain activity (e.g. organising a workshop). **Operational costs** are related to internal activities of an organisation and are considered fixed costs in the short term (e.g. staff salaries, rent, utilities, etc).

**Units, quantity per period** and **estimated unit costs** are the three elements that are needed to calculate costs associated with any of these categories.

**Monitoring and evaluation**

The basis for monitoring is set when the **indicators** for results are set. The project proposal should indicate:

- how and when the project management team will conduct activities to monitor the project’s progress;
- which methods will be used to monitor and evaluate; and
- who will do the evaluation.
Reporting

The schedule of project progress and financial report could be set in the project proposal. Often these obligations are determined by the standard requirements of the donor agency. The project report may be compiled in different versions, with regard to the audience they are targeting.

Management and personnel

A brief description should be given of the project personnel, the individual roles each one has assumed, and the communication mechanisms that exist between them. All the additional information (such as CVs) should be attached to the annexes.

Annexes

The annexes should include all the information that is important, but is too large to be included in the text of the proposal. This information can be created in the identification or planning phase of the project, but often it is produced separately. The usual documentation to be annexed to the project proposal is:

- analysis related to the general context (e.g. a civil society sector assessment);
- policy documents and strategic papers (e.g. a local environmental action plan);
- information on the implementing organisations (e.g. annual reports, success stories, brochures and other publications);
- additional information on the project management structure and personnel (curriculum vitae for the members of the project team);
- maps of the location of the target area; and
- project management procedures and forms (organisational charts, forms, etc).

### FIGURE 11

**Sample Income Form (in EUR)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foundation X</td>
<td>12,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution from local government</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provided by organisation itself</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total income:</strong></td>
<td><strong>21,345</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FIGURE 12

**Sample Expenditure Form (in EUR)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries (6 months X EUR 500)</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultants (3 trainers X 3 days X 3 trainings X EUR 100 per day)</td>
<td>2,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office supplies</td>
<td>1,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel and lodging</td>
<td>4,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct costs</td>
<td>8,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenditures:</strong></td>
<td><strong>21,345</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Training Toolkit
Tool 1: **Ice Breaker, Introduction Exercise: Little Known Facts**

**Description:** Matching little known facts with participants

**Participants:** Any number

**Duration:** 20 minutes

**Materials:** Index cards

**Procedure**

1. Distribute index cards to each participant. Ask them to write a little known fact about themselves on the card and not show anyone else. Collect all the cards and set them aside.

2. Ask the participants to stand up, walk around, meet each other, and share two little known facts about themselves. One of these facts should be the one written on the card.

3. After a few minutes, ask the participants to return to their seats. Organise the participants into teams and distribute equal numbers of fact cards to each team.

4. Ask the participants to remove and hide their nametags and then work with their team-mates to match each card with the person who wrote it. They should do this by sharing the information they collected earlier during the walk-around session. (Some cards may belong to the members of the team itself, simplifying this task.)

5. After a suitable pause, randomly select one of the teams to present its report. This team should read their cards, one at a time, and identify the writer. The team earns one point for pointing to the correct writer and one more point for correctly naming the writer. The team loses one point for pointing to an incorrect writer or incorrectly naming the writer. No points are earned or lost if the team confesses its ignorance.

6. Repeat the procedure with each of the remaining teams. The team with the highest score wins the game.

**Discussion**

Usually, icebreakers of this type do not require any debriefing. However, since there is something intriguing about what facts people choose to reveal about themselves, a quick debriefing is worthwhile using these questions. Although the questions ask about people in general, they are designed to encourage the participants to reflect on their own individual behaviour:

- What facts do people reveal about themselves?
- What facts do they hide from others?
- Why do people choose to reveal some facts and hide others?
• What facts do people reveal to friends, to acquaintances and to strangers?
• Which is easier: to write anonymous statements or to talk about them in a face-to-face situation?
• Would some people distort or make up facts about themselves? Why?
• How would the types of little known facts vary between extroverts and introverts? Between men and women? Between younger and older people?
• Do you think that this icebreaker would produce similar results when used with people from other cultures?
Tool 2: **Long Words**

**Description:** To stress the importance of proactive planning and anticipating future problems created by present solutions

**Duration:** 20 minutes
(10 minutes for the activity and 10 for debriefing)

**Participants:** Groups of five or six

**Materials:** A set of 15 tiles (index cards cut in half) for each player with these letters: A, A, E, E, G, I, I, M, N, N, O, P, R, S, T

The handout *Instructions for Playing LONG WORDS*

**Procedure**

1. **Create a handout.** Use the instructions below in Figure 13 to create the two-part handout that will be used in the training session. You will need to individualise the section called Secret Strategy at the bottom of each instruction sheet (follow the example given). Create four or five different versions so that each contestant receives a different strategy. Use two or three different words from this list for each contestant: generations, germination, greasepaint, impersonate, innermost, insertion, interposing, nominates, migration, omnipresent, presenting, resignation, and separation.

2. **Instructions for Playing LONG WORDS**

   You have 15 letters. Your objective is to create the longest word among the contestants in your group using as many letters as possible.

   The judge will tell you when to start. You have 30 seconds to come up with a long word. You cannot use proper nouns (such as names of people or brand names of products).

   When the judge announces the end of 30 seconds, give her or him the letters that make up the word.

   The judge will determine the winner (or winners) who created the longest word.

   The judge will keep the letters and begin the second round.

   Play two or more rounds of the game.

3. **Secret Strategy (example)**

   Remember that you have to play three rounds. The letters that you use in the first round cannot be used in future rounds.

   For the first round, give the judge a single-letter word: I. You will lose this round, but you will save 14 of your letters.

   During the second round, use the word MANAGERS, an eight-letter word.

   During the third round, use the word POINT, a five-letter word. (You will be left with an unused letter, E.)
2 **Form groups and assign roles.** Divide players into groups of five or six. In each group, ask players to identify the person with the fanciest digital watch. Assign the role of judge to this player. The remaining players are contestants who compete with one another within their group.

3 **Brief players.** Distribute a copy of Instructions for Playing LONG WORDS to each player. Ask players to read the instructions. If players have any questions, ask them to read the instructions again.

4 **Conduct the first round.** Ask the judge for each group to begin the first round. Ask players to start creating long words. At the end of 30 seconds, ask judges to stop the round and collect the words.

5 **Find winners of the first round.** Ask the judge to identify the winner in each group who created the longest word. If more than one person created words of the same length, they are all declared winners.

6 **Get ready for the next round.** Ask the judges to gather all the letters they received from the contestants and put them away. Emphasise that these letters will not be used in the future rounds. If any contestant protests, refer back to the instruction sheet.

7 **Conduct two more rounds.** Ask the judges to start the round, stop it after 30 seconds and collect the long words created by the contestants. As before, ask the judges to identify the winners.

8 **Find the overall winner.** Ask the judges to identify the contestant who won the most rounds. It is very likely that the contestant who received (and followed) the secret strategy about playing a single-letter word during the first round is the overall winner in each group.

**Discussion**

First, ask players to reconstruct the winning strategy. Point out that this strategy involved proactively planning a long-term strategy and implementing it.

Then, ask players to reflect on their experience and share their insights. Use suitable questions to help players discover these learning points:

- **Today’s solutions may create tomorrow’s problems.** Always anticipate the future consequences of present solutions.
- **Do not accept a solution just because you think it is brilliant or other people say it is brilliant.**
- **Keep asking yourself, “What do I do for an encore?”**
- **Plan to use all available resources in the most effective and conservative fashion.**
Tool 3: Reservation Revenue

**Description:** Preparing an activity plan, a resource plan and a budget

**Participants:** Groups of four to six

**Duration:** 40 minutes

**Materials:** Handout: Green Planet

**Procedure**

1. Divide participants into large groups

2. Explain that they will use what they have learned by preparing an activity plan, a resource plan and a budget to generate income in order to make improvements to the Jasen nature reserve.

3. Distribute the Green Planet handout.

4. Appoint or request a group leader who will submit the final documents.

5. Give the groups 30 minutes to complete the exercise.

6. Ask the group leader to give a brief summary of their approach.

**Discussion**

- Is there one best way to approach this activity?
- Which budgets are more realistic?
- Were indirect costs such as administration and overhead included?
- If the funds were distributed on a competitive basis, which group would probably receive the money?
- How do funders perceive these types of costs?
- Would the appearance of the proposal factor into the funder’s decision-making or only the content?
Imagine that you are all members of the environmental association Green Planet. This organisation was established two years ago and so far you have managed to get project funding from foundations on two occasions. You have learned that the foundation In Search of Good Projects has announced an open competition for quality projects that are “community-based actions providing visible environmental benefits.” The amount of funding is limited to an equivalent of EUR 80,000.

Your Project is: Management of the Jasen Nature Reserve

The municipal council has assigned your organisation the mandate to manage this small nature reservation situated on the banks of the Thomas River, the surrounding canyon with numerous caves and an artificial lake that is used primarily to produce electrical power but is also a popular picnic site during the summer. You are in possession of a mountaineer house with five rooms and two small cottages that are currently out of use. You plan to set up a natural museum with an exhibition of rare species grown at the site in the first cottage. You plan to rearrange the second cottage as well, turning it into a souvenir shop to sell articles produced by your NGO (T-shirts, coffee cups, etc).

With the income gathered from the museum and the shop, as well as the existing facilities, you plan to place rubbish bins, fences and benches in the reservation, to build ramps for wheelchair access and to perform other infrastructure and maintenance activities. You also want to mark trails for mountaineers and organise educational mountaineer tours for weekend visitors. Another one of your tasks is to manage forestation, so you plan to carry out annual forestation campaigns. You will use the museum to organise regular press conferences, video presentations and other educational activities targeting diverse audiences. You also plan to organise eco-patrols, which will register violations of the laws and regulations, and file complaints with the reservation authorities.

You have numerous and diverse options for introducing and implementing a quality environmental management system in the reservation. The financial support of this foundation may be crucial to commencing implementation of these ideas.

Your Tasks are:

1. to prepare an activity plan based on the model presented in the manual;
2. to prepare a resource plan using the appropriate model presented in the manual; and
3. to compile a budget.
Tool 4: Where Did it Go?

**Description:** Setting priorities and time management

**Duration:** 40-60 minutes

**Participants:** All participants

**Materials:** A copy of the Yesterday’s Activities handout for each participant

**Procedure**

1. Introduce the exercise by telling the participants that they are to recall everything they did yesterday, for the whole 24 hours. Distribute the handout Yesterday’s Activities to all participants.

2. Give the participants 10 minutes to think about what they did yesterday and to write it down. What they write down must total 24 hours.

3. After everything has been written down, ask them to prioritise each action. It can be marked as an “A,” “B” or “C” priority. An “A” indicates it is linked to a major life goal or a person’s top priority. A “B” indicates that it is something that has to be done but is not linked to major life goals. A “C” indicates that it is something that could be put off for a while or perhaps did not need to be done by the person in the first place.

4. After the list has been completed, ask how many people spent time on their “A” priorities. A discussion should follow, highlighting the importance of working on “A” priorities every day and getting rid of most of “C” priorities.

**Discussion**

1. Who spent most of their time on “C” priorities?
2. Who spent most of their time on “B” priorities?
3. Who spent most of their time in “A” priorities?
4. How important are each of these groups?
5. Do people have a balance of time between business and personal time?
6. Could people recall everything they did yesterday? If not, is it possible that the time spent was unproductive?
7. Is relaxation time an “A” priority?
Sample Workshop Agenda
How to Deliver the Training

This sample agenda is intended to further help you tailor a training event on proposal writing using different elements of this manual and toolkit. The exact use of it, in combination with other activities, should be based on what you know about the expectations and experiences of your trainees, as well as on the time available to carry out the training activity. In addition to the training topics, the sample agenda proposes activities that can provide interactive elements to your training event.

### Sample Workshop Agenda

#### Part 1 Introduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>PURPOSE</th>
<th>SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Introduction of participants, getting to know each other</td>
<td>Tool 1 Little Known Facts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agenda review/Expectations</td>
<td>Go through the agenda and encourage participants to share their expectations for the course</td>
<td>Index cards with expectations and considerations of participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction to projects</td>
<td>Build understanding of the principles/basis of project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part 2 Project Design and Proposal Writing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>PURPOSE</th>
<th>SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Stress the importance of proactive planning and anticipating future problems</td>
<td>Tool 2 Long Words</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project design and proposal writing</td>
<td>Provide a framework for the process of proposal writing in the project management context</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project design practices</td>
<td>Discuss project design experiences</td>
<td>Group discussion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part 3 How to Write a Project Proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>PURPOSE</th>
<th>SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Start the project session from the problem-solving perspective</td>
<td>Tool 3: Case Study: Work Group Exercise Presentation of project items followed by small group work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview of project proposal writing</td>
<td>Outline the format for a project proposal and presentation of its major elements</td>
<td>Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing the project</td>
<td>Go through a step-by-step process of writing a project proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing activity</td>
<td>Stress the importance of timing and punctuality in project development and implementation</td>
<td>Tool 4: Where Did it Go?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Sample Workshop Agenda continued

#### Part 4 Summary and Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>PURPOSE</th>
<th>SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>Review course content and test key learning points</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluate and improve future workshops</td>
<td>Use an evaluation questionnaire and the method(s) you used to express expectations of participants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER FOR CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPE (REC) is a non-partisan, non-advocacy, not-for-profit organisation with a mission to assist in solving environmental problems in Central and Eastern Europe (CEE). The Center fulfills this mission by encouraging cooperation among non-governmental organisations, governments, businesses and other environmental stakeholders, by supporting the free exchange of information and by promoting public participation in environmental decision-making.

The REC was established in 1990 by the United States, the European Commission and Hungary. Today, the REC is legally based on a Charter signed by the governments of 27 countries and the European Commission, and on an International Agreement with the Government of Hungary. The REC has its headquarters in Szentendre, Hungary, and local offices in each of its 15 beneficiary CEE countries which are: Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, FYR Macedonia, Poland, Romania, Serbia and Montenegro, Slovakia and Slovenia.

Recent donors are the European Commission and the governments of Albania, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Canada, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Lithuania, the Netherlands, Poland, Serbia and Montenegro, Slovenia, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and the United States, as well as other inter-governmental and private institutions.